

- Frei E III. Effect of novobiocin on the antitumor activity and tumor cell and bone marrow survivals of three alkylating agents. *Cancer Res* 1989, **49**, 595–598.
25. Kirmani S, Zimm S, Clearly SM, Horton C, Howell SB. Intraperitoneal cisplatin and etoposide (ip DDP/VP-16) as salvage therapy for ovarian cancer. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1988, **7**, 117.
26. Dottino PR, Goodman HM, Kredentser D, Rosenberg M, Cohen CJ. Clinical trial of etoposide and cisplatin as salvage therapy in advanced ovarian carcinoma. *Gynecol Oncol* 1987, **27**, 350–356.
27. Barlow JJ, Lele SB. Etoposide (VP-16) plus cisplatin (DDP): a new active chemotherapeutic combination in patients with stage III–IV ovarian adenocarcinoma. *J Surg Oncol* 1986, **32**, 43–45.
28. Steel GG, Peckham MJ. Exploitable mechanisms in combined radiotherapy–chemotherapy: the concept of additivity. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1979, **5**, 85–91.
29. Berenbaum MC. Synergy, additivism and antagonism in immunosuppression: a critical review. *Clin Exp Immunol* 1977, **28**, 1–18.
30. Tsai C-M, Gazdar AF, Venzon DJ *et al.* Lack of *in vitro* synergy between etoposide and *cis*-diamminedichloroplatinum(II). *Cancer Res* 1989, **49**, 2390–2397.

**Acknowledgements**—This work was supported by grants CA 35309 from the National Institutes of Health, grant CH-377 from the American Cancer Society, and by a grant from Bristol-Myers. E.J.K. was supported by National Institutes of Health Training Grant HL 07107 and by grant #PF-3297 from the American Cancer Society. We thank the following companies for providing drugs: Bristol-Myers, Ciba-Geigy and Upjohn. This work was done in part by the Clayton Foundation for Research—California Division. S.B.H. and P.A.A. are Clayton Foundation investigators.

*Eur J Cancer*, Vol. 26, No. 6, pp. 727–729, 1990.  
Printed in Great Britain

0277-5379/90\$3.00 + 0.00  
© 1990 Pergamon Press plc

# Weekly High-dose Infusion of 5-Fluorouracil in Advanced Colorectal Cancer

E. Diaz-Rubio, E. Aranda, M. Martin, R. Gonzalez-Mancha, J. Gonzalez-Larriba and I. Barneto

18 patients with advanced colorectal cancer entered a phase I–II study of high-dose 48 h continuous infusion 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) for 6 weeks. 7 patients were included at the first dose level (3 g/m<sup>2</sup>) and only 1 had serious toxicity (grade 3 diarrhoea and mucositis). 7 patients were included at the second dose level (3.5 g/m<sup>2</sup>). 6 had a good tolerance to treatment, while the remaining patient had grade 4 leukopenia. 4 patients received 4 g/m<sup>2</sup>: 3 had severe toxicity (grade 4 diarrhoea, myelosuppression, mucositis, central nervous system), such that the entry of new patients was stopped. Anti-tumour activity was seen in 33% (95% confidence interval 13–59%) of the overall population. Only patients who had not had previous chemotherapy responded to treatment (response rate in this subgroup [43%, 18–71%]). The optimal dose of 5-FU was 3.5 g/m<sup>2</sup> weekly for six cycles.

*Eur J Cancer*, Vol. 26, No. 6, pp. 727–729, 1990.

## INTRODUCTION

5-FLUOROURACIL (5-FU) is the most widely used cytotoxic drug in advanced colorectal cancer. However, its anti-tumour activity is below 20% [1]. Several studies have suggested that continuous infusion may increase response rate and tolerance to treatment [2–5]. A weekly 48 h continuous infusion of high-dose 5-FU (60 mg/kg) had little toxicity [3, 6]. Therefore, we have done a phase I–II study of escalating doses of weekly 48 h continuous infusion of 5-FU to determine the optimal dose of this schedule and to evaluate the response rate.

## PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients had advanced colorectal cancer, with or without previous chemotherapy. Other inclusion criteria were Karnofsky status above 70%, age under 75 years, life expectancy of at least 2 months, white cell count over 4000/μl, platelet count over 150,000/μl, bilirubin below 1.5 mg/dl and creatinine less than 1.2 mg/dl.

18 patients entered the study (Table 1). After informed consent was obtained, patients received the total dose of 5-FU administered in 2000 ml normal saline over 48 h as an inpatient. The solution was protected from the light. Chemotherapy was repeated weekly for six doses except when toxicity was severe. The initial dose we used was 3 g/m<sup>2</sup>. Subsequently, we used 3.5 g/m<sup>2</sup> in other patients, and then 4 g/m<sup>2</sup> in the final group of patients.

Toxicity and response to therapy were defined with WHO criteria [7]. During treatment, the following tests and examinations were done: physical examination (twice a week), white

Correspondence to: E. Diaz-Rubio, Servicio de Oncología Médica, Hospital Universitario San Carlos, Ciudad Universitaria s/n, 28040-Madrid, Spain.

E. Diaz-Rubio, M. Martin and J. Gonzalez-Larriba are at the Hospital Universitario San Carlos, Madrid and E. Aranda, R. Gonzalez-Mancha and I. Barneto are at Hospital General, Cordoba, Spain.

Table 1. Patients' characteristics

No. of patients	18
Previous chemotherapy	4*
M/F	10/8
Median age (range)	60 (46–68)
Median Karnofsky index (range)	90 (80–100)
Site of primary tumour	
Colon	8
Rectum	10
Sites of disease	
Local abdominal/pelvic mass	9
Liver	13
Lung	3
Peritoneum	2

\*Including Tegafur in 2 cases, cisplatin plus 5-FU in 1 case and BCNU plus 5-FU in 1 case.

and red cell and platelet count (twice a week), measurement of aspartate and alanine aminotransferase, creatinine, alkaline phosphatase, lactate dehydrogenase and electrolytes (once a week) and examination necessary for response evaluation (before and after the 6 week period of treatment).

### RESULTS

7 patients received 3 g/m<sup>2</sup>. 6 completed the planned therapy without any serious toxicity, but 1 received four doses only due to grade 3 diarrhoea and mucositis (Table 2).

7 patients received 3.5 g/m<sup>2</sup>. 1 patient had grade 4 leukopenia after the second week of 5-FU and treatment was stopped. The other 6 patients showed a good tolerance to treatment. Finally 4 patients were given 4 g/m<sup>2</sup>. Toxicity in 3 was severe, so the entry of new patients was stopped. Only 1 patient at this dose level was able to complete the planned 6 weeks of therapy. The other 3 patients stopped treatment due to toxicity. 2 had grade 4 diarrhoea, while the remaining patient had grade 4 leukopenia, thrombocytopenia and mucositis, as well as disorientation, irritability and confusion. Computed tomography of the brain and cerebrospinal fluid examination did not reveal any abnormality. This patient recovered from haematological toxicity but had a lung collapse due to accumulation of bronchial secretions. He died despite bronchoscopic aspiration. All patients included at the highest dose levels were previously untreated.

One complete (6%) and 5 partial (28%) responses were observed among the 18 patients (overall response rate 33%, 95% confidence limits 13–59%). Only patients who had not had previous chemotherapy responded to treatment. The overall response rate in this last group of patients was 6/14 (43%, 95% confidence limits 18–71%). Responses were seen in the lung (1 case), liver (1) and liver plus pelvis (2). The median duration of responses was 6.5 months.

### DISCUSSION

Despite the high number of studies with 5-FU in advanced colorectal cancer, we still do not know the best schedule. With the classical 5 day bolus schedule, the dose-limiting toxicity of the drug was myelosuppression [8, 9]. An 8 h continuous infusion induced less toxicity, although at the expense of reduced response rate [10]. Various continuous infusion schedules (48 h,

Table 2. Toxicity

Side-effect (grade)	Dose (g/m <sup>2</sup> )		
	3 (n = 7)	3.5 (n = 7)	4 (n = 4)
Leukopenia			
4	0	1	1
Thrombocytopenia			
4	0	0	1
Mucositis			
1	2	1	0
2	2	3	2
3	1	0	0
4	0	0	1
Emesis			
1	1	2	1
2	3	3	1
Diarrhoea			
1	1	1	1
2	0	1	0
3	1	0	0
4	0	0	2
Alopecia			
1	1	0	1
Skin toxicity*			
1	1	0	1
2	0	0	2
Phlebitis†	2	2	1
CNS toxicity			
3	0	0	1

\*3 patients had a plantar erythrodysesthesia syndrome and 1 a rash.

†5-FU was infused via a peripheral vein in all patients.

CNS = central nervous system.

5 days or more protracted schemes) may increase the response rate with a simultaneous decrease in toxicity [2–5]. A weekly 48 h continuous infusion of high doses (60 mg/kg) was well tolerated [3, 6].

From our study, the optimal dose of weekly 5-FU in a 48 h continuous infusion was 3.5 g/m<sup>2</sup>. 3 out of 4 patients at the next dose level (4 g/m<sup>2</sup>) had severe toxicity, which forced us to stop the entry of new patients. Diarrhoea and myelosuppression were the dose-limiting toxicities of this schedule of administration of 5-FU. The response rate obtained in our study was encouraging, with 6 out of 18 objective responses (33%) in the overall population and 6 out of 14 (43%) in previously untreated patients. However, only 7 patients were included at a dose of 3.5 g/m<sup>2</sup>. Hence, this is not a large enough sample of patients to make a definitive statement about response.

1. Carter SK. Large bowel cancer. The current status of treatment. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1976, **56**, 3–10.
2. Seifert P, Baker LH, Reed ML, Vaitkevicius VK. Comparison of continuous infused fluorouracil with bolus injection in treatment of patients with colorectal adenocarcinoma. *Cancer* 1975, **36**, 123–128.
3. Shah A, MacDonald W, Goldie J, Gudauskas G, Brisebois B. 5-FU infusion in advanced colorectal cancer: a comparison of three dose

- schedules. *Cancer Treat Rep* 1985, **69**, 739–742.
4. Caballero GA, Ausman RK, Quebbeman EJ. Long-term, ambulatory, continuous iv infusion of 5-FU for the treatment of advanced adenocarcinomas. *Cancer Treat Rep* 1985, **69**, 13–15.
  5. Lokich JL, Ahlgren JD, Gullo JJ, Phillips JA, Fryer JG. A prospective randomized comparison of continuous infusion fluorouracil with a conventional bolus schedule in metastatic colorectal carcinoma: a Mid-Atlantic Oncology Program study. *J Clin Oncol* 1989, **7**, 425–432.
  6. Blijham GH, Bleiberg H, Duez N *et al.* EORTC experience with very high dose fluorouracil. Proceedings of the EORTC Symposium on Advances in Gastrointestinal Tract Cancer Research and Treatment, 1989, 70.
  7. Miller AB, Hoogstraten B, Staquet M, Winkler A. Reporting results of cancer treatment. *Cancer* 1981, **47**, 207–214.
  8. Moertel CG. Clinical management of advanced gastrointestinal cancer. *Cancer* 1975, **36**, 675–682.
  9. Ansfield F, Klotz J, Nealon T *et al.* A phase III study comparing the clinical utility of four regimens of 5-fluorouracil. *Cancer* 1977, **39**, 34–40.
  10. Moertel CG, Reitemeier RJ. Chemotherapy of gastrointestinal cancer. *Surg Clin North Am* 1967, **47**, 929–952.

**Acknowledgements**—We thank Dr N. Petrelli (Buffalo, U.S.A.) and Dr J. Wils (Roermond, The Netherlands) for revising the manuscript.

*Eur J Cancer*, Vol. 26, No. 6, pp. 729–732, 1990.  
Printed in Great Britain

0277-5379/90\$3.00 + 0.00  
© 1990 Pergamon Press plc

## Effect of Cyclophosphamide Pretreatment on Daunorubicin in Rat Acute Leukaemia Model

Kees Nooter, Arjan de Vries, Anton C.M. Martens and Anton Hagenbeek

The total number of leukaemic cells at the time of therapy may affect the tissue and target cell distribution and antitumour efficacy of cytotoxic drugs. The effects of low dose cyclophosphamide pretreatment on daunorubicin concentrations in leukaemic bone marrow were investigated in rats. At day 12 after transplantation of the leukaemia, rats were injected intraperitoneally with cyclophosphamide (30 mg/kg). 2 days later the leukaemic rats received daunorubicin intravenously (7.5 mg/kg). Cyclophosphamide pretreatment led to a significant increase in daunorubicin concentration in the femoral bone marrow, by a factor of about 7. The log leukaemic stem cell kill (LCK) values, as estimated by a survival assay, were 1.8, 0.7, and 5.4 for the leukaemic rats injected with cyclophosphamide (day 12), with daunorubicin (day 14), or with cyclophosphamide (day 12) plus daunorubicin (day 14), respectively). The simultaneous administration of cyclophosphamide and daunorubicin at day 14, induced a LCK of 2.7, a value that was the sum of the LCKs of cyclophosphamide and daunorubicin alone. Low-dose cyclophosphamide pretreatment led to an increased daunorubicin accumulation in femoral bone marrow of leukaemic rats, and was synergistic with daunorubicin.

*Eur J Cancer*, Vol. 26, No. 6, pp. 729–732, 1990.

### INTRODUCTION

IN MOST leukaemic patients some leukaemic stem cells survive current chemotherapies [1]. Theoretically, the surviving tumour cells can endure higher drug concentrations and resist treatment. However, no unifying biochemical mechanism has been identified yet, in leukaemias, that makes the cells drug resistant. The high relapse rate in patients with acute myelocytic leukaemia (AML) may also be explained by the surviving tumour cells being exposed to inappropriate drug concentrations. Indeed, in the Brown Norway rat (BNML) model, we found that femoral

bone marrow accumulated less daunorubicin than normal bone marrow, especially at the stage of high leukaemic cell burden [2]. Daunorubicin, with its high affinity for cellular DNA, may be rapidly taken up and retained by the easily accessible leukaemic cells. Removal of a large mass (about 1 g) of leukaemic cells by splenectomy resulted in partial restoration of the reduced drug uptake by femoral bone marrow. Using the same animal model for human AML, we have been working on chemoreduction of tumour load before the start of the 'real' chemotherapy.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Chemicals

Daunorubicin, daunorubicinol, and doxorubicin were donated by Farmitalia. Cyclophosphamide was obtained from

Correspondence to K. Nooter, TNO—Institute of Applied Radiobiology and Immunology, P.O. Box 5815, 2280 HV Rijswijk, The Netherlands. K. Nooter, A. de Vries, A.C.M. Martens, A. Hagenbeek are at the Radiobiological Institute TNO, Rijswijk, The Netherlands.